Exhibit B

1 2 3 4	ROSEMARIE T. RING (State Bar No. 220769) rose.ring@mto.com JUSTIN P. RAPHAEL (State Bar No. 292380) justin.raphael@mto.com EMILY C. CURRAN-HUBERTY (State Bar No. 293065) emily.curran-huberty@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor			
56	San Francisco, California 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077			
7 8	Attorneys for Third-Party Respondent FACEBOOK, INC.			
9				
10				
11	OAKLAND DIVISION			
12				
13 14	DONALD R. CAMERON, et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR (TSH)		
15	VS.			
16	APPLE INC., et al.,			
17	Defendants.			
18 19 20	IN RE APPLE IPHONE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 4:11-cv-06714- YGR (TSH)		
21 22 23 24	EPIC GAMES, INC., Plaintiff, vs.	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR (TSH) THIRD-PARTY RESPONDENT FACEBOOK, INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S		
25 26 27 28	APPLE INC., Defendant.	SUBPOENA		
		Casa Nas. 4:10 av 02074 VCD (TSH) 4:11 av		

THIRD-PARTY RESPONDENT FACEBOOK'S RESPONSES & OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA

06714-YGR (TSH), 4:20-cv-05640-YGR (TSH)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Third-Party Respondent Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"), by and through undersigned counsel, submits the following responses and objections to Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple")'s Subpoena to Produce Documents ("Subpoena").

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Facebook responds to the Subpoena to the best of its knowledge at the present time and reserves the right at any time to supplement, amend, correct, or clarify its responses and objections, but undertakes no obligation to do so beyond the obligations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, and other applicable orders or rules. Any supplemental or amended response shall not function as a waiver of any privilege or objection Facebook has or may assert. Any response to the Subpoena or a production of documents or things made by Facebook will be solely for the purpose of this action, without waiving or intending to waive, but, on the contrary, preserving and intending to preserve: (a) the right to object on any grounds, at any time, to other discovery requests relating to the subject of the Subpoena to which Facebook has responded; (b) the right to object, on the grounds of competency, privilege, relevancy, materiality, confidentiality, authenticity, admissibility, or any other proper grounds, to the use of the responses, documents, or information provided by Facebook as evidence for any purpose, in whole or in part, in any subsequent proceeding, or in any trial in this action or any other action; and (c) the right at any time to revise, correct, supplement, or clarify Facebook's responses or objections. That Facebook has objected or responded to a Request for Production ("Request") in the Subpoena is not and should not be taken as an admission that Facebook accepts or admits the existence of any fact set forth in or assumed by such Request, or as an indication that Facebook agrees with or adopts any characterization or statement within such Request.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S DEFINITIONS & INSTRUCTIONS

Facebook's Responses are subject to the foregoing objections to Apple's Definitions and Instructions.

- 1. Facebook objects to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" set forth in the Subpoena, and to each and every Request, Definition, and Instruction using any term(s) defined therein, to the extent that they are inconsistent with or seek to impose obligations beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, and/or the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order between Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. ("Epic") and Apple, or between any parties to the above-captioned actions.
- 2. Facebook objects to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" set forth in the Subpoena, and to each and every Request, Definition, and Instruction using any term(s) defined therein, as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent that they call for the production of documents dating back to 2008, including documents that are not relevant to any party's claims or defenses or the production of which is not proportional to the needs of the case. Facebook will conduct a reasonable search for and review documents kept in the ordinary course of business as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.
- 3. Facebook objects to the definition of DOCUMENT and DOCUMENTS set forth in the Subpoena, and to each and every Request, Definition and Instruction using those terms, as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of the case, and unduly burdensome to third-party respondent Facebook.
- 4. Facebook objects to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" set forth in the Subpoena, and to each and every Request, Definition, and Instruction using any term(s) defined therein, to the extent that they seek information relating to activities outside of the United States.
- 5. Facebook objects to the definition of SOFTWARE STORE and SOFTWARE STORES set forth in the Subpoena, and to each and every Request, Definition and Instruction using those terms, as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad.
- 6. Facebook objects to Instruction Number 3 to the extent it purports to require Facebook, as a third-party respondent, to produce documents in the possession of Facebook's "present and former attorneys, investigators, accountants, agents, representatives, or other persons acting on YOUR behalf' as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of the case, and unduly

ourdensome, and calling for the production of information protected by the attorney-client
privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or
protection.

- 7. Facebook objects to Instruction Number 10 as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of the case, and unduly burdensome to third-party respondent Facebook. The Requests call for "DOCUMENTS sufficient to show," or "DOCUMENTS," not "all DOCUMENTS," and thus producing a privilege log would be disproportionate to the needs of this case and unduly burdensome.
- 8. Facebook objects to Instruction Number 11 to the extent it purports to require Facebook to search for and produce hard copies of documents as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of the case, and unduly burdensome to third-party respondent Facebook. Facebook will conduct a reasonable search of e-mails and other reasonably accessible sources of information readily available in the usual course of business that is proportionate to the needs of the case and that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

The foregoing Objections to Apple's Definitions and Instructions, and Reservation of Rights are incorporated into each and every specific response as if set forth fully therein.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show for each of YOUR APPS, INCLUDING YOUR "Facebook Mobile," "FACEBOOK GAMING," "Instagram," "WhatsApp," and "Messenger" APPS:

- a. the monthly active users for each APP on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms);
- b. the extent to which users of YOUR APP use YOUR APP on multiple platforms or switch from one platform to another (INCLUDING iOS, ANDROID OS, and nonmobile platforms); and

2
 3
 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c. YOUR understanding as to why users of YOUR APPS do or do not use them on multiple platforms or switch among them (INCLUDING iOS, ANDROID OS, and nonmobile platforms).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession, or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this request to the extent that it calls for the production of data or information in a form that is not maintained and readily accessible in the usual course of business. Facebook additionally objects to subparts 1.b and 1.c of this Request as vague and ambiguous insofar as they do not define what it means for users to "switch" from one platform to another or set forth a time period during which any such switching may occur. Facebook additionally objects to subpart 1.c. of this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome insofar as Facebook as a company does not have a singular "understanding" as to why users do or do not use Facebook's apps on multiple platforms or switch among them.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 1 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:
2	DOCUMENTS sufficient to show for each of YOUR APPS, INCLUDING YOUR
3	"Facebook Mobile," "FACEBOOK GAMING," "Instagram," "WhatsApp," and "Messenger"
4	APPS:
5	a. the PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) YOU use, if any, for IN-APP PURCHASES for each
6	means of distribution;
7	b. the factors that impacted YOUR decision to use or not use such PAYMENT
8	PROCESSOR(S) for each means of distribution, INCLUDING why YOU chose to use or not use
9	such PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) and any alternatives YOU considered;
0	c. the past or present fees and commission rates charged by (or, if available, that would be
1	charged by) each such PAYMENT PROCESSOR for IN-APP PURCHASES for each means of
2	distribution, including any exceptions, discounts, promotions, rebates, or marketing programs that
3	lower, or effectively lower, the commission rates and/or fees;
4	d. the impact of such past or present fees and commission rates charged by each such
5	PAYMENT PROCESSOR on the prices YOU charge or charged for IN-APP PURCHASES to
6	consumers;
7	e. the impact of such past or present fees and commission rates charged by each such
8	PAYMENT PROCESSOR on the IN-APP PURCHASE options (or other features or DIGITAL
9	PRODUCTS) YOU provide or considered providing to consumers;
20	f. the availability of alternative PAYMENT PROCESSORS for IN-APP PURCHASES,
21	INCLUDING whether YOU have YOUR own PAYMENT PROCESSOR that could be used but
22	for APPLE'S restrictions, and, for each such potential alternative, the fees and commission rates
23	charged for IN-APP PURCHASES;
24	g. whether users of YOUR APP are given a choice between PAYMENT PROCESSORS
25	for IN-APP PURCHASES on any platform;
26	h. the advantages of giving users a choice of PAYMENT PROCESSORS for IN-APP
27	PURCHASES;

25

26

27

28

 i. YOUR understanding as to why users of YOUR APP choose to pay through any particular PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S);

- j. any differences among PAYMENT PROCESSORS regarding security issues;
- k. any difference among PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) regarding parental controls; and
- l. any difference among PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) regarding YOUR ability to provide customer support.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources and/or from any payment processor. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent this Request asks Facebook to demonstrate why it did or did not make certain business decisions or to prove a negative. Facebook additionally objects to subpart 2.c of this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent this Request asks Facebook to provide documents to show "any exceptions, discounts, promotions, rebates, or marketing programs that lower, or effectively lower, the commission rates and/or fees." Facebook additionally objects to subpart 2.i of this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome insofar as Facebook as a company does not have a singular "understanding" as to why users choose to pay through any particular payment

processor. Facebook further objects to subpart 2.j of this Request as vague and ambiguous insofar as Defendant does not define "security issues."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 2, subparts a-b and g-l, to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden. For subpart 2c, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request 2.c only to the extent that documents reflecting policies governing any such "exceptions, discounts, promotions, rebates, or marketing programs that lower, or effectively lower, the commission rates and/or fees" are readily accessible and maintained in the ordinary course of business.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

DOCUMENTS and REPORTS RELATING TO the distribution or planned distribution of any of YOUR APPS in the iOS APP STORE, INCLUDING:

- a. DOCUMENTS and REPORTS CONCERNING negotiations between YOU and APPLE RELATING TO APPLE'S Developer Program License Agreement or App Store Review Guidelines;
- b. DOCUMENTS and REPORTS CONCERNING negotiations between YOU and APPLE RELATING TO any actual or threatened removal of any of YOUR APPS from the iOS APP STORE:
- c. DOCUMENTS and REPORTS CONCERNING any rejected submission of any of YOUR APPS to the iOS APP STORE and efforts by YOU to bring YOUR APPS into compliance and/or resubmit YOUR APP to APPLE; and
- d. DOCUMENTS and REPORTS CONCERNING negotiations between YOU and APPLE RELATING TO the PAYMENT PROCESSOR offered in each APP YOU distribute on iOS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable

Case Nos. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR (TSH), 4:11-cv-06714-YGR (TSH), 4:20-cv-05640-YGR (TSH)

privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents related to policies or restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant to any party's claims or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the case.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 3 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show:

a. why YOU chose not to offer playable gaming content for YOUR FACEBOOK GAMING APP on iOS; and

b. the impact on YOUR business of YOUR decision to not offer playable gaming content for YOUR FACEBOOK GAMING APP on iOS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it

calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 4 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the impact of APPLE'S contractual or other restrictions on YOUR iOS APPS, DEVELOPERS, content creators, and businesses, INCLUDING DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any public comment YOU made about APPLE'S contractual or other restrictions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential,

proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate

protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook further objects to this

Request as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of this case, and unduly burdensome as to

third-party respondent Facebook. Facebook additionally objects to this request as vague and

objects to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents

ambiguous to the extent it purports to cover undefined "other restrictions." Facebook additionally

related to policies or restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant

to any party's claims or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the

case. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already

in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 5 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

DOCUMENTS CONCERNING APPLE'S enforcement and/or interpretation of its Developer Program License Agreement or App Store Review Guidelines, INCLUDING any inconsistencies in or changes regarding such enforcement and/or interpretation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential,

l	
	proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate
	protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook further objects to this
	Request as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of this case, and unduly burdensome as to
	third-party respondent Facebook, particularly to the extent it purports to call for documents
	regarding Apple's actions toward other third-party developers. Facebook additionally objects to
	this Request as vague and ambiguous in its use of the terms "enforcement" and "interpretation."
	Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in
	Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information
	available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this request as
	overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents related to policies or
	restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant to any party's claims
	or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the case.
	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will
	conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request
١	for Production 6 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the impact of the iOS APP STORE policies on YOUR relationship with YOUR customers, INCLUDING CONCERNING policies that:

that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

- a. prevent YOU from informing YOUR iOS customers about alternative platforms on which they can buy YOUR DIGITAL PRODUCTS;
- b. require YOU to offer Sign-in with APPLE to YOUR users if YOU offer any other third-party login service to YOUR users; and
 - c. otherwise restrict YOUR ability to access customer data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it

calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook further objects to this Request as overbroad, disproportionate to the needs of this case, and unduly burdensome as to third-party respondent Facebook. Facebook additionally objects to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents related to policies or restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant to any party's claims or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the case. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents not relevant to any party's claims or defenses or the production of which is not proportional to the needs of the case.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 7 to the extent they exist for the specific policies identified in the Request, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show a representative sample of any innovations, services, features, APPS or IN-APP PRODUCTS that YOU would have developed or offered for use on iOS DEVICES but did not because iOS APP STORE policies would not have permitted it, would have made it unprofitable, or otherwise caused you to not pursue it.

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents related to policies or restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant to any party's claims or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the case. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for Facebook to demonstrate what would or would not have happened in a counterfactual world or to prove a negative. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as duplicative of other Requests for Production.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 8 to the extent they exist and are responsive to other, specific Requests for which Facebook had agreed to search for and produce non-privileged, responsive documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show whether YOU have offered, could offer, or have evaluated offering a SOFTWARE STORE on iOS DEVICES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable

Case Nos. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR (TSH), 4:11-cv-06714-YGR (TSH), 4:20-cv-05640-YGR (TSH)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for Facebook to demonstrate what it hypothetically could do or to prove a negative. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 9 limited to responsive documents sufficient to show whether Facebook has offered "a SOFTWARE STORE on iOS DEVICES" to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show whether APPLE'S contractual or other restrictions have prevented, impeded, or disincentivized YOU from developing or offering a SOFTWARE STORE on iOS DEVICES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information

1	protected by the right to privacy. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it	
2	calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information	
3	protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To	
4	the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by	
5	the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a	
6	substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential,	
7	proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate	
8	protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to	
9	this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for	
10	Facebook to demonstrate why it did or did not make certain business decisions or to prove a	
11	negative.	
12	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:	
13	DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the key features of YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S),	
14	such as FACEBOOK APP CENTER or the OCULUS RIFT STORE (INCLUDING any features	
15	that YOU know differ from APPLE'S iOS APP STORE), INCLUDING:	
16	a. whether YOU block APPS from YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S) based on the	
17	manner in which a DEVELOPER delivers content and services, for example, whether content is	
18	installed on a DEVICE or streamed from the cloud;	
19	b. whether YOU block APPS from YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S) based on a	
20	DEVELOPER'S choice of PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) offered for IN-APP PURCHASES;	
21	c. whether YOU block DEVELOPERS from communicating with their users	
22	through their APPS;	
23	d. whether YOU permit IN-APP DIGITAL PRODUCTS purchased outside of	
24	YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S) to be used on APPS purchased from YOUR SOFTWARE	
25	STORE(S);	
26	e. whether YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S) offer features, innovations, and	
27	services that are not available with APPLE iOS APP STORE, such as parental controls, customer	

-16-

service, security features, social features, ADVERTISING features, recommendation features, and others: and

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

f. whether YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S) offer tools and services to DEVELOPERS that iOS APP STORE does not offer to DEVELOPERS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession, or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous to the extent Defendant does not define what constitutes "key" features of a software store beyond those specifically identified in subparts 11.a – 11.f of this Request.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 11 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show a representative sample of any exceptions, discounts, or promotions that YOU have offered to DEVELOPERS that lower the commission rates and/or fees YOU charge DEVELOPERS for a user IN-APP PURCHASE from an APP accessed from

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

FACEBOOK APP CENTER, FACEBOOK GAMING, the OCULUS RIFT STORE, or any other of YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 12 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

REPORTS and/or analyses RELATING TO DEVELOPER reinvestment of savings from lower SOFTWARE STORE commissions and/or fees, INCLUDING any impact of such reinvestment on the quantity, quality, or price of APPS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it

calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show whether YOU have offered, could offer, or have evaluated offering a PAYMENT PROCESSOR for IN-APP PURCHASES compatible with iOS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for Facebook to demonstrate what it hypothetically could do or to prove a negative. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request

for Production 14 limited to responsive documents sufficient to show whether Facebook has offered "a PAYMENT PROCESSOR for IN-APP PURCHASES compatible with iOS" to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show whether APPLE'S contractual or other restrictions have prevented, impeded, or disincentivized YOU from developing or offering a PAYMENT PROCESSOR for IN-APP PURCHASES compatible with iOS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents related to policies or restrictions that are not being challenged in this case, which are not relevant to any party's claims or defenses and whose production thus is not proportional to the needs of the case. Facebook additionally objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it calls for Facebook to demonstrate why it did or did not make certain business decisions or to prove a negative.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the characteristics of YOUR PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) for each operating system on which they are offered INCLUDING their:

1 a. ability to process payments within DEVELOPERS' APPS, INCLUDING IN-APP 2 PURCHASES for DIGITAL PRODUCTS; 3 b. services offered to DEVELOPERS; 4 c. commission rates, transaction fees, or other pricing models and payment methods; 5 d. availability of coupons, discounts or promotions for transaction fees; 6 e. capability to permit DEVELOPERS to record sales directly; 7 f. security features; 8 g. data and analytics features; 9 h. parental control features; and 10 i. customer service. 11 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:** 12 Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information 13 protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it 14 15 calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information 16 protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To 17 the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by 18 the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a 19 substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, 20 proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate 21 protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to 22 this Request as vague and ambiguous to the extent it purports to seek information about the 23 characteristics of any PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) beyond those characteristics specifically 24 identified in the Request. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks 25 information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source,

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request

including information available to Defendant from public sources.

26

27

28

for Production 16 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

45

3

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show that there is demand for iOS-compatible PAYMENT PROCESSORS other than APPLE'S IAP.

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information

6 | 1

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

7 8

9 10 11

12 13

1415

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Defendant's possession or available to Defendant from some other source, including information available to Defendant from public sources. Facebook additionally objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent it does not define "demand."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 17 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Any REPORTS prepared by YOU CONCERNING APPLE'S IAP, INCLUDING:

a. any comparisons of YOUR PAYMENT PROCESSOR(S) with APPLE'S IAP; and

b. any evaluations of APPLE'S IAP, INCLUDING evaluations CONCERNING APPLE'S IAP's commission and rates, data and analytics, customer service, security, and parental controls.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All DOCUMENTS produced by YOU in THIS ACTION.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Facebook objects to this Request as unduly burdensome because it seeks information available to Defendant from any other party to which Facebook has produced documents in this action, including pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Stipulation Regarding Document Subpoenas to Non-Parties, Authenticity and Service, No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR, ECF 139.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show for each of YOUR APPS, INCLUDING YOUR "Facebook Mobile," "FACEBOOK GAMING," "Instagram," "WhatsApp," and "Messenger" APPS,

- a. the monthly downloads for each APP on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms);
- b. the revenues from paid downloads (if any) for each APP on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms);

c. the revenues from in-app purchases for each APP on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms); and

d. the revenues remitted to Facebook (i.e., revenues minus commissions) for each APP on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this request to the extent that it calls for the production of data or information in a form that is not maintained and readily accessible in the usual course of business. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 20 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show a user's ability to use IN-APP PURCHASES made in YOUR APPS, INCLUDING YOUR "Facebook Mobile," "FACEBOOK GAMING," "Instagram," "WhatsApp," and "Messenger" APPS, on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, Android OS, and non-mobile platforms) for redemption on another platform (including iOS, Android OS, and non-mobile platforms).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Apple's possession or available to Apple from public sources.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 21 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show for each of YOUR APPS, INCLUDING YOUR "Facebook Mobile," "FACEBOOK GAMING," "Instagram," "WhatsApp," and "Messenger" APPS, the monthly advertising revenue received by YOU on each platform for which the APP is available (including iOS, ANDROID OS, and non-mobile platforms).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this request to the extent that it calls for the production of data or information in a form that is not maintained and readily accessible in the usual course of business. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the

extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that information regarding Facebook's total advertising revenue is available to Apple from other sources, including information available to Apple from public sources.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the comparative benefits to YOU of distributing YOUR APPS through different marketplaces.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome to the extent that it does not define "comparative benefits."

-26-

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show the services provided to YOU by APPLE related to YOUR APPS, including but not limited to technical support services related to YOUR APPS, comarketing services related to YOUR APPS, and anything that APPLE is providing to YOU to improve the performance and accessibility of YOUR APPS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information. Facebook additionally objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the term "accessibility." Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks information already in Apple's possession, or available to Apple from some other source, including information available to Apple from public sources.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Documents sufficient to show the standard commission rate for DEVELOPERS that distribute APPS through FACEBOOK APP CENTER, FACEBOOK GAMING, the OCULUS RIFT STORE, or any other of YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it

calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request for Production 25 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden. Facebook further responds that it will not produce any data that is not maintained and readily accessible in the usual course of business.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Documents sufficient to show the standard contractual agreements between YOU and DEVELOPERS who distribute APPS through FACEBOOK APP CENTER, FACEBOOK GAMING, the OCULUS RIFT STORE, or any other of YOUR SOFTWARE STORE(S).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Facebook objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection. Facebook additionally objects to this Request to the extent it calls for the disclosure of sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy for which no such substantial need has been demonstrated. To the extent that any sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information, or information protected by the right to privacy is responsive, its disclosure is proportionate to the needs of the case, and a substantial need has been demonstrated, Facebook will produce any such sensitive, confidential, proprietary, or protected private information only subject to and in reliance upon an appropriate protective order governing confidential business information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Facebook responds that it will	
conduct a reasonable search for and will produce non-privileged documents responsive to Request	
for Production 26 to the extent they exist, as proportionate to the needs of this case and in a	
manner that does not subject third-party respondent Facebook to an undue burden.	
DATED: January 6, 2021 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
By: /s/ Rosemarie T. Ring	
ROSEMARIE T. RING Attorneys for Facebook, Inc.	
Attorneys for Facebook, file.	
Case Nos. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR (TSH), 4:11-cv-	

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is 560 4 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2907. 5 On **January 6, 2021**, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as: 6 THIRD-PARTY RESPONDENT FACEBOOK, INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS 7 TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA 8 on the interested parties in this action as follows: 9 Karen M. Lent karen.lent@skadden.com 10 Evan R. Kreiner 11 evan.kreiner@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 12 One Manhattan West New York, NY 10001 13 14 BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the above-referenced document(s) to be sent from the e-mail address emily.curran-huberty@mto.com to the persons at 15 the e-mail addresses listed above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the 16 transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 18 Court at whose direction the service was made. 19 Executed on January 6, 2021, at San Francisco, California. 20 21 **Emily Curran-Huberty** 22 23 24 25 26 27 28